



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/656,048	09/04/2003	Jef Frank	03-796	8957	
20306	7590 03/10	006	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDONNE	LL BOEHNEN I	CASIANO,	CASIANO, ANGEL L		
300 S. WACI 32ND FLOO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,			2182		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/656,048	FRANK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Angel L. Casiano	2182					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Se	eptember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-134)				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,048 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

• The present Office action is in response to application dated 04 September 2003.

• Claims 1-15 are pending.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

- Figure 1, "40"

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action

Application/Control Number: 10/656,048 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The use of the trademarks SONY, PIONEER, ATMEL, and KENWOOD has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Art Unit: 2182

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2182

7. Claims 1, 3-7, and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allmond et al. [US 6,072,803] in view of Sgambati [US 5,606,443].

Regarding claim 1, Allmond et al. teaches an apparatus (see Abstract) for using a control port to operate in accordance with multiple signal protocols (see Abstract, "capable or operating according to one of two different communication protocols"). The reference includes an interface controller (see "control logic") comprising a line driver, a system interface "interfacing an external network device") and a plurality of protocol drivers (see col. 3, line 55) each defining at least one signal characteristic, the system interface operable to receive a configuration instruction (see col. 3, lines 17-19) line from main processor and the driver operable communicate data on an input line and an output line 9see Abstract, "transceiver"). The reference also teaches control signal processor comprising: an output function to couple a data signal on the control port from the output line in accordance with a first direction state on the direction line; an input function to couple a data signal from the control port to the input line in accordance with a second direction state on the direction line (see "control logic", col. 3, lines 44-47).

Art Unit: 2182

However, the Allmond et al. reference fails to teach a modulation control line in accordance with the configuration instruction and a control signal processor comprising modulator unit operable to modulate the data signal output in accordance with the modulation control line, as claimed. As for limitations, Sqambati teaches modulation control accordance to configuration instructions (see col. 6, lines 54-58). Furthermore, the reference teaches a modulator unit (see "200") for modulating the signal output (see Id.) At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures in order to obtain an apparatus capable of producing control signals for SONY brand equipment, as taught by Sgambati (see col. 6, line 57).

As for claim 3, Sgambati teaches modulating the data signal by coupling a signal to a control port when the control line is in a modulation enable state (see col. 6, lines 58-62). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures for the reasons stated above.

Art Unit: 2182

As for claim 4, Sgambati teaches setting a modulation line to a high or low state (see col. 6, lines 65-67, "drive"). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures for the reasons stated above.

As for claims 5 and 6, Sgambati teaches modulating the data signal by coupling a signal to a control port when the control line is in a modulation enable state (see col. 6, lines 58-62). The reference also teaches transitioning between a high and low level (see col. 6, lines 62-63, "drive"). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures for the reasons stated above.

As for claim 7, Allmond et al. teaches additional input line, output line, and direction line (see Figure 1, "102"). While Allmond et al. fails to teach a modulation control line in accordance with the configuration instruction, Sgambati teaches modulation control in accordance to configuration instructions (see col. 6, lines 54-58). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures for the reasons stated above.

As for claim 9, Sgambati explicitly teaches an infrared (IR) protocol used by audio equipment (see col. 6, lines 56-58). The SONY brand equipment includes "disc changers". At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures for the reasons stated above.

Regarding independent claim 10, the combination οf references teaches the apparatus for using a control port to accordance with multiple signal in protocols. operate Therefore, the combination of references also teaches the method for using a control port to operate in accordance with multiple signal protocols. The present independent claim is rejected under the same rationale.

As for dependent <u>method</u> claims 11-14, these claims are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 15, the combination of references teaches the <u>apparatus</u> for using a control port to operate in accordance with multiple signal protocols. Therefore, the combination of references also teaches the <u>media</u> management system for implementing the control port to operate

Art Unit: 2182

in accordance with multiple signal protocols. The present independent claim is rejected under the same rationale.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allmond et al. [US 6,072,803] in view of Sgambati [US 5,606,443], in further view of Sibigtroth et al. [US 5,251,304].

As for claim 2, the combination of references does not teach a <u>bi-directional buffer</u>. As for this limitation, Sibigtroth et al. teaches a control signal processor having a bi-directional buffer (see col. 6, lines 3-11) communication in a first direction state and a second direction state (see col. 6, lines 18-19 and 24). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures in order to obtain a controller able to operate according to "write control" and "read control" signals, as taught by Sibigtroth et al. (see Id.)

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allmond et al. [US 6,072,803] in view of Sgambati [US 5,606,443], in further view of Douma et al. [US 6,370,550 B1].

Art Unit: 2182

As for claim 8, the combination of references does not teach the use of the <u>S-Link protocol</u>. Douma et al. teaches using the S-Link protocol (see col. 3, line 2). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the combination of references in order to "fully integrate multimedia components into a single coherent system" allowing the components to be "automatically configured" in "accordance with the user action", as taught by Douma et al. (see col. 3, lines 3-6).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angel L. Casiano whose telephone number is 571-272-4142. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on 571-272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alc 28 February 2006

> KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

> > 3/3/06

Page 11